

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,904	09/18/2001	Jie Zhang	8747.82	8603
21999	7590 07/02/2003			
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE 1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			1 EXAMINER	
			GEORGE, KONATA M	
P O BOX 451 SALT LAKE	20 CITY, UT 84145-0120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616 DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/954;904	ZHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Konata M. George	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
·	Any 2002					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 						
, <u> </u>	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17 and 19-23</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11-16 and 19-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	- •					
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-15, 17 and 19-23 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

- 1. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 16 and 18.
- 2. The rejection of claim 6 under U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite is hereby withdrawn as applicant changed the dependency of the claim.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-22 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,284,266 B1 is hereby withdrawn as a timely filed terminal disclaimer was filed.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-6, 11-15 and 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Argaud is being maintained for the reason stated in the previous office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Argaud (US Pat. No. 4,963,360).

Argaud discloses a method for improving the absorption performance for the medicinal components to be applied to the skin; the absorption performance is generally

Art Unit: 1616

accelerated through warming comprising an exothermic package body having a layer containing a medicinal component (col. 1, lines 12-28). It is the examiner's position that the exothermic layer will heat the patient's skin since that temperature is higher than body temperature i.e. up to 45°C (col. 2, lines 1-6). The prior art reference of Argaud does not disclose to apply the apparatus when patient feels the onset of pain.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the apparatus to treat pain. The invention is directed towards improved absorption of medicinal components through the skin. If one of ordinary skill in the art employed a pain relieving medicinal component to the apparatus it would be obvious to employ the apparatus when the user feels pain to alleviate the pain.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argue that Argaud does not teach the heating apparatus that heats for a predetermined temperature for a predetermined time. Argaud teaches a composition that when it is contacted with air causes an exothermic reaction. Since air is the fuel that causes the exothermic reaction, it is the position of the examiner that the amount of air supplied to the exothermic layer would determine the temperature and time. For example, the longer air is exposed to the layer the higher the temperature and

Art Unit: 1616

the longer the time would be, the shorter the exposure of air the shorter the time and lower the temperature. Therefore, Arguad teaches the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7-10 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is

(703) 308-4646. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday

to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached at (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556

for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY/PATENT_EXAMINER

Page 5

FECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600